

**Rolling Knolls USEPA Contractor Oversight and Technical Support Summary
October 2013 – September 2014**

1. Screening Level Ecological Risk Assessment

- Conference Call

2. Draft Baseline Ecological Risk Assessment

- Conference call

3. Site Characteristic Summary report

- Preliminary Data Gaps
- Internal Conference calls – Discussed data presented in the SCSR and response.
- Multiple Conference Calls – Review and discussion of EPA comments with ARCADIS and PRPs. Despite such calls, the revised documents was not responsive.
- Multiple reviews – Review of response to comments. EPA would provide comments and often the revised document did not reflect the EPA's comment or it was not addressed in the revised copy. Revised copies had to be reviewed 100 % because often information was changed or revised without notification.

4. Rolling Knolls Site visit – Prior to the site visit, there was a lot of discussion between EPA, Sedita, and PRPs regarding attendees and release forms.

5. Fall 2014 Data Gaps Sampling Event

- Multiple Conference Calls- Participated in
- Review of Quality Assurance Project Plan - Review and discussion of EPA comments.
- Review of Sampling Analysis Plan - Review and discussion of EPA comments.
- Field Oversight – Provided on-site oversight of the sampling activities in addition to collecting split samples.

6. Pathway Analysis Report – Review of the revised PAR

7. Baseline Human Health Risk Assessment – Reviewed draft report. The report implied that the Ball Field and Shooting Range were not a part of the site, despite the final decision of the Dispute Resolution (8/2013).

- Multiple Conference Calls – Review and discussion of EPA comments. Despite such calls, the revised documents was not fully responsive.
- ~~Multiple reviews~~ – Review of response to comments. EPA would provide comments and ~~often~~ at times the revised document did not reflect the EPA's comment or it was not addressed in the revised copy. Revised copies had to be reviewed 100 % because often information was changed or revised without notification.
- Several calculations could not be reproduced which increased the QA evaluation and level of review. This ultimately resulted in EPA taking back the BHHRA.
- Final BHHRA – EPA revised and finalized the document.

8. Draft Baseline Ecological Risk Assessment Work Plan

- Conference Calls – discussed EPA comments and plan forward regarding the lack of data to complete the BERA.
- Document Review – Reviewed draft document and review of response to comments.

Commented [SM1]: Was there only one conference call? If not, maybe change to "Conference calls" or "Multiple conference calls"?

Commented [SM2]: Same here.

Commented [SM3]: What does this mean? Was there a meeting/s to identify preliminary data gaps?

Commented [SM4]: I think something is missing here, like "calls to discuss how the sampling would be conducted and how all documents would be developed and approved" or something like that?

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.31", Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"